

Based upon my de novo review, and for the reasons stated by the Commissioner in her objections (ECF No. 16), I find that the Commissioner's

objections are well founded and should be granted. Because the magistrate judge's rulings that were not objected to otherwise support the factual findings of the administrative law judge that the claimant was not disabled within the meaning of the Social Security Act, I find it appropriate to affirm the Commissioner's decision denying disability insurance benefits.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that (1) the Commissioner's objections to the recommendation of the magistrate judge that the case be remanded to the Commission for further development are GRANTED; (2) the recommendation of the magistrate judge that the case be remanded to the Commission for further development is NOT ACCEPTED; (2) the Motion for Summary Judgment by the Commissioner is GRANTED; and (3) the decision of the Commissioner is AFFIRMED.

A separate final Judgment will be entered herewith.

ENTER: March 28, 2016

/s/ James P. Jones  
United States District Judge